# State of Arizonal Report

Workforce Investment Act Title IB Program Year 2006



#### Published October 2007

For additional copies of this report contact:

Arizona Department of Economic Security Employment Administration/WIA Section 1789 West Jefferson Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-3957 www.azdes.gov/wia/ Lisa Lovallo - Chair Spot-On Consulting

Henry Atha Management Assistance Services

Elaine Babcock Southwest Gas

Bob Breault Breault Research

DonnaDavis Future Farmer's of America

Bryan Detwiler Old Town Mission

Fred DuVal Arizona Board of Regents

Milt Ericksen Arizona Department of Education

Rebekah Friend AFL-CIO

Urban Giff Gila River Indian Community

Mary Hemandez Kaffer Hunt Law Firm, LTD

Jan Lesher Arizona Department of Commerce

Fred Lockhart Arizona Private School Association

Gary Marks Prescott Valley Economic Development Foundation

David Martin Arizona Chapter, Associated General Contractors

Stephanie McKinney Greater Flagstaff Economic Council

Art Othon Arizona Public Service

Patrick Quinn Qwest

Pam Ross Honeywell

Deanna Salazar Blue Cross Blue Shield

Dina Rojas Sanchez Cardondelet Holy Cross Hospital

Joseph Snell Tucson Regional Economic Opportunities, Inc.

Joe Standley Iron Workers District Council

Dr. Mary Vanis Pima Community College

Richmond Vincent, Jr. Compass Bank

Tracy Wareing Arizona Department of Economic Security

## Governor's Council on Workforce Policy

The Honorable Elaine L. Chao Secretary of Labor U.S. Department of Labor 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20210

Dear Secretary Chao:

On behalf of the Arizona's Governor's Council on Workforce Policy (GCWP), please find the Workforce Investment Act Title IB Annual Report for Program Year 2006.

The GCWP is focused on streamlining systems, evaluating the effectiveness of workforce programs and obtaining additional financial resources to address the state's growing employer demands. In PY06 the Council utilized discretionary funds to hire a full-time grant writer, who will concentrate on generating incremental revenue for regionally focused, demand driven projects targeted at private and the public sector initiatives.

In addition, the Council has made an effort to better understand Arizona's workforce system results. To that end, we have established the Arizona Workforce Connections dashboard report. The dashboard reports provide a simple and comprehensive assessment of key programs. Ultimately, we are working towards providing a clear picture of the return on investment of Arizona's workforce system to the business community and policy makers alike.

We are honored and encouraged that the Department of Labor saw fit to award Arizona with a \$5 million WIRED grant. The GCWP will do whatever it can to support this regional initiative and encourage collaborative workforce, economic development and educational projects into the future.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lorallo

Lisa Lovallo Chair, Governor's Council on Workforce Policy

# Table of Contents

Section	Page
Overview of Arizona's Workforce Connection	1
Economic Environment	2
Governor's Council on Workforce Policy	4
Notable State Highlights	5
Cost Effectiveness	8
Evaluation of Workforce Investment Activities	9
State Performance Tables	10
Local Workforce Investment Areas Performance Tables	14
Arizona's Local Workforce Investment Areas	29

# Overview of Arizona's Workforce Connection

The federal Workforce Investment Act (WIA) was signed into law in August 1998 and became effective in Arizona in July 2000. This annual report focuses on Program Year (PY) 2006, which covers the period of time from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007. This is the seventh year of WIA implementation in Arizona.

Arizona's workforce system, known as *Arizona's Workforce Connection*, consists of the Governor's Council on Workforce Policy (State Board), 14 Local Workforce Investment Areas (LWIAs) along with their respective Local Workforce Investment Boards (LWIBs), 23 comprehensive One-Stop centers, 19 satellite offices, and an array of workforce partners.

The state board, as well as the 14 local boards, represents business, labor, education, and other workforce invested partners to ensure that a strong, market-driven, service delivery system responds to the skill needs of businesses and workers throughout the state and within the local communities.

Arizona's Virtual OneStop system (VOS) is the state's Internet-based workforce system that provides a comprehensive statewide management information system for the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Title IB and Wagner-Peyser programs. VOS tracks service delivery of WIA participants and allows job seekers the ability to job search, explore career/training opportunities and receive information on labor market information, as well as related community services. VOS also gives employers the ability to recruit, post job orders, and access labor market information to meet the needs of the business community.

Arizona's Workforce Connection system continues to improve and is the strongest it has been since the inception of WIA. Arizona's performance substantiates the state's continuous improvement efforts. Of the 17 WIA performance measures established by the Act, Arizona has met or exceeded all of its performance goals. As such, Arizona for a second consecutive year, received an incentive award from the federal government for exceeding its negotiated performance standards under WIA. Arizona's performance outcomes are discussed further in this report.

Arizona's success, however, does not begin or end with compliance with federal mandates. Despite the achievements to date, much more remains to be accomplished. As a state, Arizona strives to integrate its various workforce components into a seamless, coordinated system that is effective and useful to Arizona's workforce customers – workers and businesses. Arizona continues to adapt to changes in the marketplace and to refine our system through regional partnerships and collaborative efforts in order to build upon the foundation that has been established. Arizona continues to transform our workforce system so it is flexible, responsive, and timely in meeting the needs of all Arizonans, now and in the future.

### Economic Environment

During Program Year (PY) 2006 (July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007), Arizona's seasonally adjusted unemployment rate decreased from 4.1% in July 2006 to 3.4% in June 2007, averaging 4.0% for PY06. The national unemployment rate for June 2007 was 4.5%. According to figures released for June 2007, 92,800 nonfarm jobs were added in Arizona over-the-year (OTY=July 2006 – June 2007) for an annual gain of nearly 4.0%, and demonstrated a steady increase of employment.

In comparison to the rest of the nation in June 2006, Arizona's OTY nonfarm employment growth was ranked second in the nation, just behind Utah. Industries with the most rapid rates of over-the-year growth included natural resources and mining, leisure and hospitality, government, and other services.

Since July 2006, construction had an OTY decrease of 4,000 jobs (-1.6%). Jobs in construction of buildings decreased by 900 (-1.9%) while jobs in heavy construction rose by 1,800 (+6.2%). Specialty trades lost 5,000 jobs (-2.9%).

Educational/health services added 13,400 jobs since July 2006 (+4.7%).

Financial activities had an OTY increase of 3,800 jobs (+2.1%).

Professional and business services had an OTY increase of 21,000 jobs (+5.3%).

Trade, transportation, and utilities added 13,700 jobs (+2.7%) since July 2006. Retail trade added the majority of these jobs, 9,900 (+3.1%).

Leisure and hospitality gained 17,800 jobs (+6.8%) since July 2006. Arts, entertainment and recreation added 4,100 new jobs (+12.4%), while accommodation and food services had a gain of 13,700 jobs (+6.0%).

Natural resources and mining gained 1,200 jobs (+11.9%).

Manufacturing recorded an OTY net loss of 1,700 jobs (-.9%). Gains occurred in the reported sectors of fabricated metals (300 jobs) and aerospace products (500 jobs). Computer and electronic parts lost 2,100 jobs. Non-durables experienced a decrease of 500 jobs.


Information services continued to be weak, as the industry pared 1,400 jobs (-3.1%). Telecommunications lost 800 jobs (-5.2%).


Government added 23,600 jobs (+6.4%). The majority of those jobs were in state 2,200 (+2.9%) and local government 20,500 (+8.5%). The Federal government added 900 jobs (+1.7%).

Other services gained 5,500 nonfarm jobs (+5.5%).

"Arizona's economy is forecast to grow by more than 180,000 nonfarm jobs during 2007-2008. A fast growing state population is an important impetus to this. Growth of nonfarm jobs is forecast to slow, yet maintain a faster pace than the national economy."\*

\*Source: DES Research Administration; Arizona's Workforce Press Release April, 2007.





## Governor's Council on Workforce Policy

The Governor's Council on Workforce Policy (GCWP), Arizona's State Workforce Board, is comprised of 35 members representing private industry, education, economic development, and organized labor. The *Arizona Workforce Connection* system encompasses programs at the Arizona Departments of Commerce, Education, and Economic Security, as well as 14 Local Workforce Investment Areas, including the Nineteen Tribal Nation Consortium serving Arizona's Tribes.

Under Governor Napolitano's leadership, the GCWP continues to improve the *Arizona Workforce Connection* system. In Program Year 2006, the Council's focus has been placed on the following strategies:

#### Streamlining workforce functions to create a more efficient, demand driven system

The Council has been working with Arizona's workforce development agencies to support the Governor's vision for an integrated workforce and economic development system. To support this goal, the Council evaluated the Title IB allocation process, conducted national best practices research, and began work on Arizona specific outcome based performance measures. Further, the GCWP Operations Committee is working on Arizona's chartering process, which is to be implemented in Program Year 2007. This chartering process will enhance the state's statewide polices and procedures for Arizona's One-Stop system.

#### Regionalizing workforce needs and economic priorities

Utilizing discretionary funds, the Council has been working with the Local Workforce Investment Boards and Areas to promote a more business oriented workforce system. To support that effort, the Council allocated funding to each LWIA to establish a plan which addressed the needs of their local business community. For some local areas this meant hiring a full-time business outreach coordinator, while some conducted localized, real time labor market information studies. Further, the Council has recently hired a statewide grant writer to work with local workforce areas, community colleges and state workforce development agencies. This grant writer will be charged with securing regional, industry driven funding for the workforce system.

#### Generating additional financial resources for the workforce system

A strong focus of the Council has been to generate a more diverse pool of resources to meet Arizona's workforce needs. Hiring the statewide grant writer is one of the strategies the Council is undertaking to support this effort. Additionally, the Council is also working on a statewide financial Resource Sharing Agreement (RSA) for all the partners within the workforce system. The goal of the standardized RSA is to ensure Arizona's Title IB program is not bearing all of the costs associated with operating the One-Stop system.

The Council and the partners within the *Arizona Workforce Connections* system continue to support Governor Napolitano's vision for a seamlessly integrated workforce development system that effectively meets the needs of individuals and businesses.

## Notable State Highlights

#### **WIA Title V Incentive Funds**

In April of 2006, the U.S. Department of Labor announced Arizona was eligible for a WIA Title V Incentive Grant Award in the amount of \$709,618. Arizona utilized the initial WIA Title V Incentive Grant Award to develop the *Enhancing Arizona's Workforce Connections* project. This statewide collaborative project involves the Arizona Department of Education and the Arizona Department of Economic Security. The grant funds were evenly distributed between the Local Workforce Investment Areas (LWIAs), Adult Education Services (AES), and Career and Technical Education (CTE).

Arizona suffers from a critical shortage of allied and health care workers to support its health care industry statewide. Labor Market Information indicated that Arizona ranked 45<sup>th</sup> out of all states for the number of registered nurses per 100,000 residents and projected a need for over 30,000 nurses, 11,000 medical assistants, and 15,000 allied health care workers over the next seven years. With the demand for health care services increasing as Arizona's population ages, too few people enter or remain in the health care professions. The overall goal of the *Enhancing Arizona's Workforce Connections* project is to provide training and education for Arizona citizens on the opportunities in health care occupations and the shortage of health care workers.

In May 2007, Arizona was again notified that the state was eligible for a WIA Title V Incentive Grant Award in the amount of \$1,478,972. Building upon the prior year grant award, Arizona elected to continue to target health care occupations.

#### **Partners Assuring Youth Success**

In June 2007, Arizona was competitively selected by the U.S. Department of Labor to be a state pilot to demonstrate the effectiveness of state-level partnerships, programmatic outcomes, and development of an overall plan to meet the needs of at-risk youth. The Governor's Office, in collaboration with the Arizona Departments of Commerce and Economic Security and other community-based stakeholders, is directing the efforts of the Arizona Vision for Youth Task Force and the pilot program titled *Partners Assuring Youth Success*.

**Partners Assuring Youth Success** is a pilot program focusing on Arizona's two fastest growing counties in central Arizona, Pinal and Gila. These counties serve as one Local Workforce Investment Area. The **Partners Assuring Youth Success** project places special emphasis on youth who are dually involved in the child welfare and juvenile justice systems and youth in either or both systems that are parenting. The Youth-Adult Partnership model is used to respond to the needs of youth aging out of foster care.

Mulvaney Consulting Group, a local provider of Independent Living Training Services for youth in foster care, employs young people formerly in the foster care system as youth advocates. These youth advocates provide outreach to foster and former foster youth, and work with local service providers to tailor services for youth. Further, the *Partners Assuring Youth Success* program works with local businesses to develop employment opportunities. Adult staff provides training and support to youth liaisons and works in partnership to develop outreach, service, and employment components of the program.

The TERM Report – An Innovative Tool for Career Planning

	Arizona Statewide TERM Ranking by Occupation '05-'15								
	TERM: Training & Education Resource Model								
	Rank based on comparative scores in openings, wages, skills, growth, and turnover, using weights 3, 3, 1, 1, 1								
Rank	SOC Code	Occupational Title	Open- ings	Hourly Wage	ONET Score	Growth Rate	Turn- over Ratio	Training / Education Requirements	
1	29-1111	Registered Nurses	2,346	\$27.06	645	4.8%	2.3	Associate degree	
2	11-1021	General & Operations Managers	1,349	\$41.05	659	2.7%	1.4	Bachelor's or higher degree, plus work	
								experience	
3	15-1031	Computer Software Engineers, Applications	383	\$34.34	607	3.9%	4.0	Bachelor's degree	
4	15-1032	Computer Software Engineers, Systems Software	257	\$37.09	647	3.7%	3.8	Bachelor's degree	
5	15-1081	Network Systems & Data Communications Analysts	255	\$27.79	669	5.4%	4.5	Bachelor's degree	
6	11-9111	Medical & Health Services Managers	296	\$31.76	707	3.5%	1.8	Bachelor's or higher degree, plus work	
								experience	
7	29-1051	Pharmacists	281	\$42.28	554	4.5%	2.3	First professional degree	
8	15-1071	Network & Computer Systems Administrators	216	\$28.23	697	4.2%	3.8	Bachelor's degree	
9	11-1011	-1011 Chief Executives		\$62.77	653	2.6%	1.4	Bachelor's or higher degree, plus work	
								experience	
10	11-9021	Construction Managers	448	\$37.78	616	2.9%	1.6	Bachelor's degree	

In the Arizona job market, it is helpful to know which occupations and industries afford better career path opportunities. The Department of Economic Security's Research Administration created a unique tool for WIA Labor Market Information (LMI) known as the *Training and Education Research Model (TERM)* that helps identify occupations with more employment/advancement opportunities.

**TERM** evaluates occupations based on their projected openings, growth rate, wages, skills, estimated turnover ratio, and basic training and education guidelines. It is helpful to look at more than one criterion by itself, such as growth rate or openings. For example, some occupations with a higher number of openings may have relatively low pay, and some occupations with high pay may not have many openings. By factoring in multiple criteria, employment opportunities can be readily identified.

The above LMI was layered with WIA data, allowing local areas to view information specific to their location, thus creating ease of interpretation. This breakdown of the data allows case managers to better understand high demand, high growth industries and the jobs/positions in certain sectors along with salary ranges for each region.

**TERM** empowers case managers to accurately advise individuals on training and career paths, and allows participants to consider options outside their immediate community. **TERM** addresses the critical link between performance, data, and the availability of correct, current and understandable labor market information.

**TERM** is flexible and can be adapted to different applications and needs. For example, **TERM** can rank training programs according to occupation. Education and training requirements of occupations can be used to query the data for jobs that meet the needs of the user. **TERM** can also assign weights to criteria (openings, growth, wages, skill, and turnover) used to evaluate occupations in demand.

#### **Inmate/Ex-Offenders Outreach**

In Program Year 2006, the Arizona Departments of Commerce and Economic Security worked together to standardize the employment, training, and support service information being disseminated to inmates throughout the state from the various employment and training programs. A packet of information entitled *Inmate Employment Information Packet* was assembled and distributed statewide to each comprehensive and affiliate One-Stop Career Center and Arizona Department of Corrections staff, as well as being placed in each prison resource center, with the hope of assisting offenders with employment reentry.

Approximately 17,000 inmates are released each year in Arizona and most are required to become gainfully employed upon release. With state agencies, Local Workforce Investment Areas, and the correctional system all using the same information to help former inmates attain employment, the goal is to reduce the revocation and recidivism rate of inmates throughout Arizona.

## Cost Effectiveness

Program	Cost per Participant
*Overall for all programs	\$3,069.35
Adult Program	\$1,912.45
Dislocated Worker Program	\$4,133.10
Youth Program	\$4,792.05

<sup>\*</sup>Overall includes Administration Expenses

The state received a WIA Title IB allotment of \$39,896,801 that provided services and activities through the *Arizona Workforce Connection* One-Stop system for PY 2006 (July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007). A total of 12,928 individuals were served through formula funding provided to the 14 Local Workforce Investment Areas (LWIAs) through the adult (\$11,791,048), dislocated worker (\$10,207,668), and youth (\$12,509,990) funding streams. The LWIAs also provided services to Arizona's business communities and affected workers through Rapid Response funded (\$1,031,076) activities by providing assistance with lay-offs and closures.

Arizona's cost per participant with program funds (excluding administration funds) for PY 2006 was \$1,663 for adults, \$3,594 for dislocated workers, and \$4,167 for youth. Adult customers generally are looking for immediate employment at the One-Stops rather than long term training opportunities; therefore, their costs remain lower. Dislocated workers often see their employment lay-off as an opportunity to acquire new skills and make a career change. Youth require long term classroom training to not only meet the demands of today's labor market but also to be adequately prepared for the changing market demands in the future. Both dynamics for dislocated workers and youth involve longer, more intense training services, increasing the cost of serving these two customer groups. The average cost for all three funding streams for PY 2006 was \$2,669 per participant.

## Evaluation of Workforce Investment Activities

#### **Evaluation of Statewide Activities**

Arizona continues to develop a collaborative, integrated, customer driven, customer friendly, workforce investment system that is responsive to all customers. As a system, *Arizona's Workforce Connection* continually works to improve the services provided, and to meet the needs of Arizona's workers and businesses by providing workers with needed skills, and businesses with the skilled workers they require. Arizona uses a number of methods to evaluate workforce investment activities.

- Annual monitoring of WIA Title IB adult, youth and dislocated worker service providers is conducted by the Department of Economic Security, the state's WIA oversight agency. Monitoring includes a comprehensive review of local fiscal and management information systems, provider agreements, and the eligible training provider application process. Adult, dislocated worker, and youth program activities are observed and evaluated, recommendations are made, and results are tracked, reviewed and reported. This process actively supports the local areas' ability to construct strong programs to empower participants in their career paths, and meet business needs for a skilled and motivated workforce.
- Customer Satisfaction surveys are conducted with both participants and employers, as required for WIA performance. Arizona continues to evaluate customers' levels of satisfaction by conducting telephone surveys.
- **Data Element Validation** evaluates the accuracy of participant data used to generate annual WIA performance reports. The process compares source documentation in participant files, such as eligibility documentation, to selected information pulled from a sample of exited participant records in the Virtual OneStop system. Data element validation is critical to ensure that performance results of Arizona's WIA program are accurate. Each state is required by the U.S. Department of Labor to complete this process.

#### **Evaluation of Arizona's Allocation Formulas Under WIA**

In Program Year 2006, Arizona contracted with Social Policy Research (SPR) Associates to conduct a study on the formulas that Arizona uses to allocate funds to local workforce investment areas under WIA Title IB adult, youth, and dislocated worker programs. The study was to determine if alternative mechanisms would better enable the state to focus WIA funds to those local areas most in need or those that were most effective in serving participants.

The results of the study indicated that Arizona is one of only four states that use an alternative method to allocate funds under the adult and youth programs. The majority of states use the standard methodology outlined in WIA legislation. Arizona is also unique in its need to allocate funds to the Nineteen Tribal Nations Consortium, because it must separate out the tribal portions from the various counties, as well as set aside funds for the Navajo Nation. Based on SPR's evaluation of the formulas, Arizona is assessing the seven recommendations for future program allocations.

# State Performance Tables

Table A – Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level  American Customer Satisfaction Index	# of Surveys Completed	# of Customers Eligible for the Survey	# of Customers included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	71.0%	72.0%	582	1,986	591	98.5%
Employers	71.0%	59.0%	616	724	618	99.7%

#### Table B – Adult Program Results

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	Numerator Denominator
Entered Employment Rate	76.0%	81.6%	2,048 2,509
Employment Retention Rate	81.0%	85.5%	2,436 2,848
Average Earnings Rate	\$9,700.00	\$12,117.00	\$25,942,447 2,141
Employment and Credential Rate	62.0%	71.2%	1,288 1,810

#### Table C – Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information			Veterans		Individua Disabi		Older Individuals	
Entered Employment Rate	78.4%	265 338	85.8%	139 162	78.9%	75 95	83.0%	132 159
Employment Retention Rate	78.3%	238 304	84.7%	144 170	77.7%	73 94	89.9%	151 168
Average Earnings Rate	\$8,909.00	\$1,790,704 201	\$14,678.30	\$1,790,747 122	\$10,649.50	\$713,518 67	\$13,904.40	\$1,738,046 125
Employment and Credential Rate	59.7%	117 196	69.7%	106 152	52.5%	21 40	69.9%	65 93
		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator

Table D - Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services		Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate	84.9%	84.9% 986 78.8%		1,062	
Entered Employment Rate	04.970	1,162	70.070	1,347	
Employment Detection Dete	89.4%	1,322	81.4%	1,114	
Employment Retention Rate	89.4%	1,479	81.4%	1,369	
A	\$14,031.50	\$16,332,639	£0.927.00	\$9,609,808	
Average Earnings Rate		1,164	\$9,836.00	977	
		numerator	_	numerator	
		denominator		denominator	

Table E – Dislocated Worker Program Results

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	Numerator Denominator
Entered Employment Rate	85.0%	87.0%	1,034 1,188
Employment Retention Rate	88.0%	90.4%	961 1,063
Average Earning Rate	\$13,262.00	\$15,598.10	\$13,289,580 852
Employment and Credential Rate	69.0%	70.6%	495 701

 $Table \ F-\textbf{Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations}$ 

Reported Information			Individuals with Disabilities		Older Individuals		Displaced Homemakers	
Entered Employment Rate	90.0%	108 120	80.0%	20 25	84.4%	173 205	68.8%	66 96
Employment Retention Rate	91.2%	125 137	90.0%	27 30	89.8%	167 186	83.3%	45 54
Average Earnings Rate	\$17,583.60	\$2,004,526 114	\$12,855.00	\$308,519 24	\$14,361.00	\$2,139,792 149	\$11,911.00	\$476,438 40
Employment and Credential Rate	73.0%	65 89	76.5%	13 17	78.6%	88 112	51.7%	15 29
		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator

Table G – Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services		Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate	89.2%	609	84.2%	425	
Entered Employment Rate	09.270	683	04.270	505	
Employment Detection Dete	92.2%	571	87.8%	390	
Employment Retention Rate	92.2%	619	87.8%	444	
A Ein D-4-	416 500 40	\$8,177,981	¢14 229 40	\$5,111,599	
Average Earnings Rate	\$16,588.20	493	\$14,238.40	359	
		numerator		numerator	
		denominator		denominator	

Table H1 - Youth (14-21) Program Results

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	Numerator Denominator
Placement in Employment or Education	N/A	62.6%	922 1,472
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	N/A	48.0%	733 1,528
Literacy and Numeracy Gains	N/A	58.4%	52 89

Table H2 – **Older Youth (19-21) Results** 

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	Numerator Denominator
Entered Employment Rate	70.0%	77.4%	216 279
Employment Retention Rate	79.0%	86.5%	300 347
Six Months Earnings Increase	\$3,450.00	\$4,804.70	\$1,446,229 301
Credential Rate	50.0%	46.9%	165 352

Table I – Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals with Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Entered Employment Rate	75.5%	40 53	100.0%	1 1	68.4%	13 19	78.9%	183 232
Employment Retention Rate	89.4%	59 66	100.0%	1	100.0%	18 18	86.9%	265 305
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$3,923.60	\$211,872 54	\$10,045.00	\$10,045 1	\$5,691.90	\$79,686 14	\$4,969.70	\$1,341,817 270
Credential Rate	52.6%	30 57	0.0%	0 2	52.2%	12 23	42.9%	126 294
		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator

Table J – Younger Youth (14-18) Results

Reported Information	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	Numerator Denominator
Skill Attainment Rate	83.0%	75.5%	1,608 2,130
Diploma or Equivalent Rate	58.0%	68.0%	393 578
Retention Rate	59.0%	71.0%	606 854

Table K – Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Individuals with Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Skill Attainment Rate	70.4%	236	81.3%	257	68.7%	439
	/0.4/0	335		316	06.770	639
Diploma or Equivalent Rate	49.3%	36	91.5%	65	42.60/	88
	49.5%	73	91.5%	71	43.6%	202
Retention Rate	(0.69/	57	76.00/	76	(7.20/	264
	60.6%	94	76.0%	100	67.3%	392

Table L – Other Reported Information

	Emp	Month loyment tion Rate	Earning (Adults & County 12 Monty Repl	Months gs Change Older Youth) or ths Earnings acement ed Workers)	Placements for Participants in Nontraditional Employment		Participants in Nontraditional		Participants in Nontraditional		Participants in Nontraditional Employment		Participants in Nontraditional		Participants in Nontraditional		Participants in Nontraditional		Wages at Entry into Employment for those who Entered Unsubsidized Employment		Unsu Employr to the Receive who C	ry into ibsidized nent Related Training ed of those Completed g Services
Adults	81.3%	2,295 2,824	\$5,880.00	\$14,423,601 2,453	4.2%	87 2,048	\$4,814.20	\$9,031,447 1,876	27.9%	275 986												
Dislocated Workers	85.8%	921 1,073	116.6%	\$13,834,445 \$11,862,677	3.0%	31 1,034	\$7,273.60	\$6,873,587 945	30.2%	184 609												
Older Youth		289		\$1,500,747		10		\$696,286		numerator denominator												
7 0 0 0 1	83.8%	345	\$5,247.40	286	4.6%	216	\$3,516.60	198														
		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator		numerator denominator														

Table M – Participation Levels

Reported Information	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
<b>Total Adult Customers</b>	9,882	5,025
Total Adults (self-service only)	142,928	131,992
WIA Adults	7,086	3,703
WIA Dislocated Workers	2,840	1,346
Total Youth (14-21)	3,002	1,261
Younger Youth (14-18)	2,257	932
Older Youth (19-21)	745	329
Out-of-School Youth	1,369	629
In-School Youth	1,633	632

**Table N - Cost of Program Activities** 

	Total Federal Spending	
Local Adults	\$11,791,048	
Local Dislocated Workers		\$10,207,668
Local Youth		\$12,509,990
Rapid Response (up to 25%) WIA Section	on §134(a)(2)(B)	\$993,029
Statewide Required Activities (up to 15%	6) WIA Section §134(a)(2)(B)	\$1,625,000
Statewide Allowable Activities	Program Activity Description	·
WIA Section §134(a)(3)	Demonstration Projects	\$2,000,000
	Apprenticeship	\$130,000
	Other Governor's Council Programs	\$400,459
	State Administration	\$2,077,730
	All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$41,734,924

# Local Workforce Investment Areas Performance Tables

Table O - Local Performance

		Adults		206	
Local Area Name	Total Partiainanta Carvad	Dislocated Workers			12
<b>Cochise County</b>	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		27	
		Younger Youth			110
		Adults			120
ETA A : 1//04005	TAIR	Dislocated Workers			7
ETA Assigned #04005	Total Exiters	Older Youth			11
		Younger Youth			34
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual Per	formance Level
	Program Participants		C T	11 4	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See 1	able A	
	Adults		71.0%		81.3%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		86.0%	9	
	Older Youth		71.0%	10	
	Adults		90.0%	92	
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers		88.0%	92	
Retention Rates	Older Youth		84.0%	100.	
	Younger Youth		62.0%		88.9%
Average Earnings	Adults	\$9,	00.000		\$11,843.30
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers	\$10,	00.000		\$13,481.40
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,	\$3,100.00 \$		\$7,321.00
	Adults		60.0%		76.6%
Cradontial/Dinloma Pates	Dislocated Workers		62.0%		73.3%
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth		49.0%		76.5%
	Younger Youth		61.0%	79.2%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		90.0%		85.1%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	77.1%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	68.0%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	0.0%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormanea	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overan Status of Local Perfo	ormance	0		1	14

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults			78
Local Area Name		Dislocated Workers			11
Coconino County	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		14	
		Younger Youth		69	
		Adults			41
		Dislocated Workers			6
ETA Assigned #04065	Total Exiters	Older Youth			10
		Younger Youth			44
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual Pe	rformance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		See Ta	ible A	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		500 14	1010 71	
	Adults		76.0%		92.3%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		78.0%	10	
	Older Youth		80.0%	6	
	Adults		87.0%	8	
D 4 4 D 4	Dislocated Workers		93.0%	100	
Retention Rates	Older Youth		79.0%	8	
	Younger Youth		63.0%	88	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$9,	00.00	\$12,27	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers	\$10,	00.000	\$10,166	
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$2,	00.000	\$5,036	
	Adults		60.0%		92.1%
	Dislocated Workers		63.0%		75.0%
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth		55.0%		58.3%
	Younger Youth		67.0%	86.	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		76.0%		80.0%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	63.6%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	82.2%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	0.0%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormanca	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overali Status of Local Perio	ormanCC	1		0 14	

Table O – Local Performance

Local Area Name		Adults		114	
Gila/Pinal	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers		*	
Counties	Total Fartisipants Served	Older Youth		43	
		Younger Youth		72	
		Adults		46	
ETA Assigned # <b>04010</b>	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers		*	
ETA Assigned #04010	Total Exiters	Older Youth		10	
		Younger Youth		15	
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance Le	evel Actual Pe	rformance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		See Table A		
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See Table A		
	Adults	77	7.0%	87.3%	
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
	Older Youth	72	2.0%	76.9%	
	Adults	86	5.0%	87.3%	
	Dislocated Workers*	See 1	REPAC – Table O		
Retention Rates	Older Youth	83	3.0%	90.9%	
	Younger Youth	60	0.0%	66.7%	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$8,70	00.00	\$9,838.60	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,70	00.00	\$6,790.70	
	Adults	63	3.0%	69.4%	
C	Dislocated Workers*	See 1	REPAC – Table O		
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth	53	3.0%	56.3%	
	Younger Youth	61	1.0%	100.0%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	83	3.0%	87.0%	
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	73.3%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	79.4%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	100.0%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met	Met	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Pelli	ormanec	0	0	11	

<sup>\*</sup>The Re-Employment and Pre-Layoff Assistance Center (REPAC) is the entity that administers the dislocated worker program on behalf of 6 local area consortiums that include Gila/Pinal, Graham, Greenlee, Mohave/La Paz, Navajo/Apache Counties and the Nineteen Tribal Nations (See Table O – REPAC Dislocated Worker Consortium)

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults			34
Local Area Name		Dislocated Workers			*
<b>Graham County</b>	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		8	
		Younger Youth			29
		Adults			20
ETA Assigned #04015		Dislocated Workers			*
	Total Exiters	Older Youth			6
		Younger Youth			15
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance L	Level	Actual Peri	formance Level
	Program Participants		0 71	1 4	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See Tab	ole A	
	Adults	6	65.0%		66.7%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
	Older Youth	5	57.0%	8:	
	Adults	7	77.0%	93	
Datantian Datas	Dislocated Workers*	See	REPAC	– Table O	
Retention Rates	Older Youth	7	79.0%	90.0	
	Younger Youth	5	58.0%	8	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$7,5	540.00		\$17,294.40
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,6	00.00	\$9,837.	
	Adults	6	62.0%		60.9%
C 1 ( 1/D 1	Dislocated Workers*	See	REPAC -	– Table O	
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth	5	50.0%		85.7%
	Younger Youth	5	58.0%		83.3%
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	8	84.0%		84.2%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	88.2%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	96.3%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A		0.0%
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met	]	Met Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Pello	ormanec	0		1	10

<sup>\*</sup>The Re-Employment and Pre-Layoff Assistance Center (REPAC) is the entity that administers the dislocated worker program on behalf of 6 local area consortiums that include Gila/Pinal, Graham, Greenlee, Mohave/La Paz, Navajo/Apache Counties and the Nineteen Tribal Nations (See Table O – REPAC Dislocated Worker Consortium)

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults		7	
Local Area Name		Dislocated Workers		*	
Greenlee County	Total Participants Served	Older Youth		0	
Greeniee County				25	
		Younger Youth			
		Adults		*	
ETA Assigned #04020	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers			
		Older Youth		2	
		Younger Youth		8	
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance Leve	el Actual Per	formance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		See Table A		
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		see Table A		
	Adults	63.5	5%	100.0%	
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
	Older Youth	62.0	)%	100.0%	
	Adults	72.25	5%	100.0%	
<b>.</b>	Dislocated Workers*	See R	EPAC – Table O		
Retention Rates	Older Youth	76.0	)%	0.0%	
	Younger Youth	50.0	)%	66.7%	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$9,000	00	\$17,795.30	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$2,350	\$2,350.00		
	Adults	49.0	49.0%		
C 1 (1/D) 1 D (	Dislocated Workers*	See R	EPAC – Table O		
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth	25.25	5%	50.0%	
	Younger Youth	50.0	)%	71.4%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	83.0	)%	87.1%	
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)	N	//A	100.0%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)	N	//A	54.5%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)	N	7/A	0.0%	
Overall Status of Local Perfe	ormance	Not Met	Met Ex		
Overali Status Of Local Perio	ormance	2	0	9	

<sup>\*</sup>The Re-Employment and Pre-Layoff Assistance Center (REPAC) is the entity that administers the dislocated worker program on behalf of 6 local area consortiums that include Gila/Pinal, Graham, Greenlee, Mohave/La Paz, Navajo/Apache Counties and the Nineteen Tribal Nations (See Table O – REPAC Dislocated Worker Consortium)

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults	Adults		
Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers			1,167
Maricopa County	Total Latticipants Scived	Older Youth		79	
		Younger Youth			223
		Adults			1,095
ETA A: 1 #04025	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers			353
ETA Assigned #04035	Total Exiters	Older Youth			32
		Younger Youth			97
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual Per	formance Level
Containing Setions at in-	Program Participants		C T	-1-1 - A	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See 1	able A	
	Adults		83.0%		85.0%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		88.0%	8	
	Older Youth		69.0%		75.8%
	Adults		85.0%	9	
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers		88.0%	9	
Retention Rates	Older Youth		82.0%	9	
	Younger Youth		56.0%	8	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$10,	,223.00	\$16,072	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers	\$13,	,780.00	\$16,400.	
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$2,	,686.00		\$4,680.30
	Adults		73.0%	69.	
Credential/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		74.0%		71.2%
Credential/Dipionia Rates	Older Youth		45.0%		41.7%
	Younger Youth		58.0%	55.6%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		80.0%		76.5%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	62.8%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	38.1%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	37.5%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfe	ormance	0		6	9

Table O – Local Performance

Local Area Name		Adults		129		
Mohave/La Paz	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers		*		
Counties	Total Larticipants Scived	Older Youth		18		
		Younger Youth		119		
		Adults		81		
ETA A: 1 #04070	T-4-1 F-it	Dislocated Workers		*		
ETA Assigned #04070	Total Exiters	Older Youth		4		
		Younger Youth		27		
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance Le	vel Actual F	erformance Level		
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		See Table A			
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See Table A			
	Adults	80.0%		82.5%		
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O				
	Older Youth	71	.0%	0.0%		
	Adults	75	5.0%	85.0%		
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See 1	REPAC – Table O	C – Table O		
Retention Rates	Older Youth	76	5.0%	100.0%		
	Younger Youth	59	0.0%	56.0%		
Average Earnings	Adults	\$7,30	0.00	\$7,922.90		
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers*	See 1	See REPAC – Table O			
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,00	0.00	\$4,144.50		
	Adults	62	2.0%	38.9%		
Cradontial/Dinlama Patas	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O				
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth	46	5.0%	0.0%		
	Younger Youth	53	5.0%	52.6%		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	83	5.0%	81.3%		
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	54.2%		
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	23.4%		
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	75.0%		
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met	Met	Exceeded		
Overan Status of Local Pello	ormanec	3	3	5		

<sup>\*</sup>The Re-Employment and Pre-Layoff Assistance Center (REPAC) is the entity that administers the dislocated worker program on behalf of 6 local area consortiums that include Gila/Pinal, Graham, Greenlee, Mohave/La Paz, Navajo/Apache Counties and the Nineteen Tribal Nations (See Table O – REPAC Dislocated Worker Consortium)

Table O – Local Performance

Local Area Name		Adults		74	
Navajo/Apache	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers			9
Counties	Total Larticipants Screet	Older Youth		2	22
		Younger Youth		2	22
		Adults		3	35
ETA Assigned #04005	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers			*
ETA Assigned #04095	Total Exiters	Older Youth		1	2
		Younger Youth			7
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance Le	evel A	ctual Perfo	rmance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	See Table A			
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See Table A		
	Adults	76.0%		85.7%	
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See	REPAC – Tab	ole O	
	Older Youth	6.	2.0%	100	
	Adults	70	6.0%	83.	
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
Retention Rates	Older Youth	7:	9.0%	85.7	
	Younger Youth	6	1.0%	6	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$7,00	00.00		\$8,270.50
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers*	See	REPAC – Tab	ole O	
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,00	00.00	\$4,581.00	
	Adults	6.	2.0%		56.0%
Cradantial/Diploma Batas	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth	50	0.0%		57.1%
	Younger Youth	55	8.0%	40.0%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	82	2.0%		78.6%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	91.7%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	53.3%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	20.0%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met	Met		Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Pello	ormanec	1	2		8

<sup>\*</sup>The Re-Employment and Pre-Layoff Assistance Center (REPAC) is the entity that administers the dislocated worker program on behalf of 6 local area consortiums that include Gila/Pinal, Graham, Greenlee, Mohave/La Paz, Navajo/Apache Counties and the Nineteen Tribal Nations (See Table O – REPAC Dislocated Worker Consortium)

Table O – Local Performance

Local Area Name		Adults		331	
Nineteen Tribal	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers		*	
Nations 111bar	Total I articipalità bei ved	Older Youth		70	
		Younger Youth		373	
		Adults		191	
ETA A : 1//04000	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers		*	
ETA Assigned #04090	Total Exiters	Older Youth		30	
		Younger Youth		116	
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Per	formance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	See Table A			
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	560	Table A		
	Adults	74.0%		64.4%	
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See REPA	AC – Table O		
	Older Youth	68.9%	68		
	Adults	79.3%		76.9%	
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
Retention Rates	Older Youth	80.6%	69.2		
	Younger Youth	59.8%		46.9%	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$8,000.00		\$9,074.40	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers*	See REP.	AC – Table O		
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,718.00	\$3,917		
	Adults	60.6%		47.4%	
C	Dislocated Workers*	See REPAC – Table O			
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth	45.4%		35.7%	
	Younger Youth	61.8%	63.3%		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	81.9%		67.1%	
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)	N/A	46.99		
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)	N/A	N/A		
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)	N/A	N/A		
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Met	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Pello	ormanec	3	5	3	

<sup>\*</sup>The Re-Employment and Pre-Layoff Assistance Center (REPAC) is the entity that administers the dislocated worker program on behalf of 6 local area consortiums that include Gila/Pinal, Graham, Greenlee, Mohave/La Paz, Navajo/Apache Counties and the Nineteen Tribal Nations (See Table O – REPAC Dislocated Worker Consortium)

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults	Adults		1,584
Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers			775
Phoenix (City of)	Total Larticipants Scived	Older Youth		153	
		Younger Youth			443
		Adults		1,112	
ETA A : 1//04025	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers			486
ETA Assigned #04025	Total Exiters	Older Youth			60
		Younger Youth			233
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual Per	rformance Level
Containing Setions	Program Participants		C T	-L1- A	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See Ta	able A	
	Adults		79.0%		80.7%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		90.0%		87.4%
	Older Youth		70.0%	7	
	Adults		87.0%	0%	
Detection Detec	Dislocated Workers		91.0%	9	
Retention Rates	Older Youth		81.0%	85	
	Younger Youth		59.0%	6	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$10,	,500.00	\$12,28	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers	\$14,	,500.00	\$16,806	
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,	,500.00	\$3,412	
	Adults		62.0%		75.3%
Credential/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		65.0%	72.6	
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth		48.0%		46.4%
	Younger Youth		65.0%	67.6%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	71.0%		66.9%	
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)	N/A		59.9%	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)	N/A		36.0%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)	N/A		41.7%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overall Status Of Local Pelli	ormanec	0		5	10

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults			1,309
Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers			522
Pima County	Total Larticipants Scived	Older Youth		218	
		Younger Youth			420
		Adults			666
ETA Assigned #04030	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers			284
ETA Assigned #04030	Total Exiters	Older Youth			93
		Younger Youth			169
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual I	erformance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	See Table A			
Customer Satisfaction	Employers				
	Adults	73.			85.1%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		86.0%	8	
	Older Youth		57.5%	7	
	Adults		83.5%		79.8%
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers		82.8%		
Retention Rates	Older Youth		81.7%	8	
	Younger Youth		55.0%		
Average Earnings	Adults	\$8,	100.00	\$11,0	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers	\$11,	700.00	\$15,35	
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$2,	230.00	\$5,30	
	Adults		61.0%	71	
Credential/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		63.5%		68.1%
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth		42.4%		39.4%
	Younger Youth		53.0%	61.0%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		86.7%		77.2%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A		57.5%
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)	N/A		36.3%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	38.59	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormanca	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overan Status of Local Perio	ormance	0		4	11

Table O – Local Performance

Local Area Name		Adults		14	
Santa Cruz	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers			7
County	Total Larticipants Scived	Older Youth		2	
v		Younger Youth			85
		Adults			9
ETA Assigned #04040	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers			6
ETA Assigned #04040	Total Exiters	Older Youth			4
		Younger Youth			29
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		Saa Ta	bla A	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers	See Table A			
	Adults	ults			92.3%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		85.0%	10	
	Older Youth		71.0%	10	
	Adults		87.0%		91.2%
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers		88.0%		
Retention Rates	Older Youth		80.0%	6	
	Younger Youth		61.0%		
Average Earnings	Adults	\$9,	00.000	\$12,4	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers	\$11,	00.00	\$13,02	
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,	600.00		\$1,988.00
	Adults		71.0%	86	
Credential/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		72.0%		100.0%
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth		60.0%		80.0%
	Younger Youth		61.0%	80.0%	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		83.0%		73.9%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A		91.2%
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)	N/A		73.5%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	0.0	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overan Status of Local Fello	omanoc	1		3	11

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults		73	
Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers			29
Yavapai County	Total Larrierpairts Serveu	Older Youth		3	
		Younger Youth			18
		Adults			49
ETA A : 1//04000	T (IF )	Dislocated Workers			19
ETA Assigned #04080	Total Exiters	Older Youth			6
		Younger Youth			10
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual I	Performance Level
reported information	Program Participants	regulated refrontance	Lever	71014411	errormance Lever
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See Ta	able A	
	Adults	75.0%			88.9%
Entered Employment	Dislocated Workers		88.0%		95.0%
Rates	Older Youth	68.0%		85.7	
	Adults		82.0%	9:	
	Dislocated Workers		85.0%	96.	
Retention Rates	Older Youth		79.0%	88.	
	Younger Youth		54.0%	80	
Average Earnings	Adults	\$7,	439.00	\$10,432	
(Adults/DWs)	Dislocated Workers	\$6,	612.00		\$14,162.50
Six Months Earnings Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$2,	428.00	\$4,458.	
	Adults		60.0%		80.0%
	Dislocated Workers		59.0%		72.7%
Credential/Diploma Rates	Older Youth		53.0%	50.0	
	Younger Youth		52.0%	88.9	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73.0%	88.9	
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A	77.34	
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)		N/A	66.7%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	0.0%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormanca	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Pello	ormanec	0		1	14

Table O – Local Performance

		Adults			305
Local Area Name	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers			53
Yuma County	Total Larticipants Scived	Older Youth		88	
		Younger Youth			249
		Adults			232
ETA Assigned # <b>04045</b>	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers			37
	Total Exiters	Older Youth			49
		Younger Youth			128
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants	See Table A			
Customer Satisfaction	Employers				
	Adults	75.0%			78.5%
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers		85.0%	7:	
	Older Youth		70.0%	7	
	Adults		82.0%		88.1%
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers		88.0%	6	
Retention Rates	Older Youth		80.0%	8	
	Younger Youth		61.0%		
Average Earnings	Adults	\$8,	00.00	\$8,60	
(Adults/DWs) Six Months Earnings	Dislocated Workers	\$8,	500.00	\$8,97	
Increase (Older Youth)	Older Youth	\$3,	450.00		\$4,854.50
	Adults		63.0%	75	
Credential/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers		66.0%		73.7%
Cicucina Dipiona Rates	Older Youth		51.0%		43.5%
	Younger Youth		58.0%		74.1%
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth	85.0%			92.5%
Placement in Employment or Education	Youth (14-21)		N/A		74.0%
Attainment of Degree or Certificate	Youth (14-21)	N/A		66.5%	
Literacy or Numeracy Gains	Youth (14-21)		N/A	86.5%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormance	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Fello	ormanice	0		3	12

Table O – Local Performance

Local Area Name REPAC Dislocated Worker Consortium	Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers		253	
ETA Assigned #04010	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers		148	
Reported Information		Negotiated Performance	Level	Actual Per	formance Level
	Program Participants	_			
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		See T	Table A	
Entered Employment Rates	Dislocated Workers	85.0%		92.6%	
Retention Rates	Dislocated Workers	86.0%			92.0%
Average Earnings (Adults/DWs)	Dislocated Workers	\$8,966.00		\$13,401.40	
Credential/Diploma Rates	Dislocated Workers	62.0%		63.1%	
Overall Status of Local Perfo	ormana.	Not Met		Met	Exceeded
Overan Status of Local Perio	JIHIAHUU	0		0	4

# Arízona's Local Workforce Investment Areas

The 14 designated Local Workforce Investment Areas (LWIAs) provide services both directly and indirectly. The LWIAs offer an array of services, as specified in their local plans. The LWIAs are held accountable to the Local Workforce Investment Boards (LWIBs), which are responsible for strategic planning, program oversight and coordination of resources.

Cochise County	Vada Phelps, Executive Director 1843 Paseo San Luis Sierra Vista, Arizona 85635 (520) 458-9309
Coconíno County	Carol Curtis, Director 2625 North King Street Flagstaff, Arizona 86004 (928) 522-7900
Gíla/Pínal Counties	Barbara Valencia, Program Manager 5515 South Apache Avenue, Suite 200 Globe, Arizona 85501 (928) 425-7631
Graham County	Neil Karnes, Director 826 West Main Street Safford, Arizona 85546 (928) 428-7386
Greenlee County	Evangelina Esquivel, Program Manager Highway 191 & Ward Canyon Road P.O. Box 1537 Clifton, Arizona 85533 (928) 865-4151
Marícopa County	Patrick Burkhart, Assistant Director 234 North Central Avenue, Suite 3201 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 (602) 506-5911
Mohave/La Paz Countíes	Susie Parel-Duranceau, Director 700 West Beale Street Kingman, Arizona 86401 (928) 753-0723
Navajo Apache Partnership	Gail Sadler, Director 100 East Carter Road P.O. Box 668 Holbrook, Arizona 86025 (928) 289-9257

# Arízona's Local Workforce Investment Areas

Nineteen Tribal Nations	Patrick Andrews, Chairman P.O. Box 837 Sells, Arizona 85634 (520) 383-8650
Phoeníx, Cíty of	Cynthia Spell, Deputy Director Community & Economic Development 200 West Washington, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85003 (602) 262-4036
Píma County	Arthur Eckstrom, Director Community Services 2797 East Ajo Way Tucson, Arizona 85713 (520) 243-6741
Santa Cruz County	Dean Grana, Acting Director 2935 North Grand Avenue Nogales, Arizona 85621 (520) 375-7670
Yavapaí County	Teri Drew, Regional Director 221 North Marina Street, Suite 201 P.O. Box 2451 Prescott, Arizona 86302 (928) 778-1422
Yuma County	John Morales, Executive Director 3826 West 16 <sup>th</sup> Street Yuma, Arizona 85364 (928) 329-0990



Equal Opportunity Employer/Program • Under Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI & VII), and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, the Department prohibits discrimination in admissions, programs, services, activities, or employment based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, and disability. The Department must make a reasonable accommodation to allow a person with a disability to take part in a program, service or activity. For example, this means if necessary, the Department must provide sign language interpreters for people who are deaf, a wheelchair accessible location, or enlarged print materials. It also means that the Department will take any other reasonable action that allows you to take part in and understand a program or activity, including making reasonable changes to an activity. If you believe that you will not be able to understand or take part in a program or activity because of your disability, please let us know of your disability needs in advance if at all possible. To request this document in alternative format or for further information about this policy, contact (602) 542-3957; TTY/TDD Services: 7-1-1.